American College of Physicians Ethical Guidance for Electronic Patient-Physician Communication: Aligning Expectations

Wei Wei Lee, MD, MPH ¹ and Lois Snyder Sulmasy, JD ²

Table 1 ACP Recommendations for Electronic Communication Between Patients and Physicians

ACP Position/recommendation Actions Rationale

POSITIONS

Position 1: Electronic Communication Can Supplement In-Person Interactions Between Patient and Physician

should not take the place of in-person communications. It

should strengthen, not impede, ongoing relationships grounded in interactions with active listening and discussion, eye contact, and thorough physical examination building "therapeutic alliancës14

Outside of cross-coverage, patient-physician e-communica-E-communication between patient and doctor can be an addition should only occur within a patient-physician relationship tion to an established patient-physician relationship, but that has been established in-person or through a valid

^{1.} Electronic communication can supplement Communicate electronically with patients who in-person interactions between patient and have established care in person and maintain an physician ongoing in-person relationship

telemedicine encounter to ensure standards of practice, confidentiality, ethics, and professionalism are upher this allows physicians to utilize clinical context, physical exams, and clinic conversations to advise patients individual who otherwise initiates e-communication for clinical advice should be advised to make an appointment or as appropriate, seek emergency care Clinicians should be aware of institutional policies and laws and regulations on e-communication and consultation which may vary by staft.

In-person communication techniques (i.e., asking openended questions, providing frequent summaries, etc.) are not always directly transferrable to e-communication where the absence of in-person conversation, brevity, and non-verbal cues challenge assessment of understanding. While e-communication may enhance connectivity, time between and expectations for responses, potential typographical errors, or misimperatation raise concerns. Secure e-communications may be most useful for making or canceling appointments; medication refills; raising brief questions; of checking in regarding current care (e.g., if the physician asks at a visitlet me know if you are tolerating this new medication

sionalism and Human Rights Committee at the time the pape was approved by the Committee were: Thomas A. Bledsoe, Acknowledgments: MD (Chair); Omar T. Atiq, MD (Vice Chair); John B. Bundrick, MD; Betty Chang, MDCM, PhD; Lydia S. Dugdale, MD; Andrew Dunn, MD, MPH; LT COL Joshua D. Hartzell, MD, USA; Thomas S. Huddle, MD, PhD; Janet A. Jokela, MD, MPH; Diana Jung; Mark A. Levine, MD; Ana María López, MD, MPH; Neena Mohan, MD; and Paul S. Mueller, MD, MPH. Approved by the ACP Board of Regents on 3 November 2018.

Acknowledgments: The authors and the ACP Ethics, Professionalism and Human Rights Committee would like to thank the many leadership and journal reviewers of the paper for helpful comments on drafts; Wei Wei Lee, MD, MPH, who received compensation for consulting on and co-authoring the manuscript; and staff of the ACP Center for Ethics and Professionalism at the time of the development of the paper: Daniel T. Kim, MA, MPH, for research

Compliance with Ethical Standards:

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they do not have a conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology.